UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER		
DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		
	DOCKET NO.	
KEITH RADIGAN AND LINDA RADIGAN		
Plaintiffs,	CHECK OFF (IGHODE FORMI)	
	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT	
	RELATED TO THE	
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT	
g	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.		
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in additional Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, because of the constant of the consta	with an '\(\vec{\su}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),	
Plaintiffs, KEITH RADIGAN AND LINDA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	•	
I. PAR	TIES	
A. PLAIN		
A. ILAIN	1HF (S)	
1. ☑ Plaintiff, KEITH RADIGAN (here a citizen of New York residing at 25 Varsity Blvd., Se (OF		
<u> </u>	he of Decedent	
and brings this claim in his (her) c	anacity as of the Estate of	

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05046-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Plaintiff:		ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 33-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
ramuir.	KEITH RADIGAN, and bring	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff gs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
		and (his wife), Plaintiff KEITH RADIGAN. Other:
New York (F	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/16/2 DNY) as a Firefighter at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire Department
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
=====================================	I Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
From on or about _9/11/2001_ until _9/16/2001_; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
	ly <u>5</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
From on or al	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, hours per day; for	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
	ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
	oout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
Approximatel Approximatel	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	<u> </u>	apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC. ✓ CORD CONTRACTING CO. INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-05046-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441. III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-05046-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 4/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: Chronic Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath Date of onset: 1/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 10/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches Date of onset: 10/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages: \checkmark Pain and suffering \checkmark Loss of the enjoyment of life \checkmark Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity \checkmark Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits \checkmark Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation \checkmark Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined._

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Keith Radigan and Linda Radigan

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	KEITH RADIGAN (AND WIFE, LINDA RADIGAN),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=========	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
========	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
PLEASE	
tha	CE OF ENTRY t the within is a (certified) true copy of an y entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
tha wil jud wit	t an order of which the within is a true copy one of the ges of the hin named Court, at M
	20 atM. ted, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP